

# Fiduciary Awareness in 403(b) Plans

Copyright © 2018 by the Plan Sponsor Council of America (PSCA)

200 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 3100 Chicago, IL 60606 312.419.1863 research@psca.org http://www.psca.org

## **Acknowledgements**

The Plan Sponsor Council of America gratefully acknowledges the assistance provided by the following people and organizations during the production of this survey.

#### **All Survey Participants**

#### **PSCA's Non-Profit Research Committee:**

- Aaron Friedman, National Practice Leader, Tax-Exempt, Principal
- Sherri L. Malless, Field VP, Client Relationship Services, AUL Retirement Services
- Karin Rettger, President, Principal Resource Group
- Linda Schwartz, Senior Director Corporate Marketing, TIAA
- James Strodel, Senior Vice President, Financial Advisor, CAPTRUST Financial Advisors
- Dmitry Yarushkin, Research Analyst, Principal, and Assistant Professor of Business Administration, Grand View University

Thank you to Principal for sponsoring this survey.



### **Table of Contents**

#### **Executive Summary - Page 4**

#### **Demographics - Page 5**

- Table 1: Respondent Demographics by Organization Size and ERISA Status
- Table 2: Respondents by Industry Type
- Table 3: Approximate Plan Asset Size of Respondents
- Table 4: Number of Plan Providers Used, by Plan Size

#### Plan Fiduciaries - Page 7

- Table 5. Percentage of Respondents Who View Themselves or Their Organization's Investment Committee as a Plan Fiduciary
- Table 6. Entities Other Than the Plan Sponsor that Act as Plan Fiduciaries

#### Plan Changes Made - Page 7

- Table 7. Types of Plan Changes Made in the Last Two Years
- Table 8. Types of Investment Changes Made
- Table 9. Types of Plan Governance Changes Made, When Made
- Table 10. Types of Fee Changes Made, When Made
- Table 11. Reasons for Making Plan Changes, when Changes Were Made

#### **Investment Assistance-Page 7**

- Table 12. Entities Relied on in Making Investment Line-up Decisions
- Table 13. Percentage of Organizations Offering, or Planning to Offer, Investment Decision Assistance to Participants

#### Appendix I – Questionnaire

### **Executive Summary**

The Plan Sponsor Council of America (PSCA) conducted a survey of 403(b) plan sponsors in October 2018 to assess their awareness of fiduciary responsibilities, and if it has changed in the last two years. The survey also assessed what was driving any change – the uncertainty regarding a Fiduciary rule, the multiple lawsuits in the news regarding 403(b) plans, or other factors.

Three hundred 403(b) plan sponsors responded to the survey, representing a diverse group of organizations. Two-thirds of respondents are ERISA plans, nearly a quarter are not subject to ERISA, and ten percent of respondents were unsure of their ERISA status. One-third of respondents have fewer than 50 participants, and less than 20 percent have more than 1,000. Respondents included a wide range of industries including social and community services organizations, higher education, K-12 Education, and religious institutions.

#### **Notable Findings:**

- Eighty percent of respondents view themselves as *plan fiduciaries*, up from 76.5 percent in 2016.
- Investment Changes: Half of plans made changes to investments, including 72.0 percent of large plans (more than 1,000 participants) and less than twenty percent of small plans (fewer than 50 participants). Of those that made investment changes:
  - Half of large plans changed from retail to institutional share classes.
  - Mid-size plans eliminated proprietary funds of the service provider (19.4 percent of plans with 200-999 participants).
  - One-fourth of small plans adopted a Qualified Default Investment Option (QDIA).
- **Plan Governance Changes:** Seventeen percent of plans made governance changes, including a quarter of large plans.
  - Half of these plans hired a fiduciary advisor or switched from a non-fiduciary to a fiduciary advisor.
  - Half reevaluated the plan's governance structure, and 40 percent created an Investment Policy Statement.
- Plan Fee Assessments: One-fourth of plans made changes to fees, including half of large plans.
  - o Of those, nearly half implemented fee levelization, and thirty percent began benchmarking fees.
- **Reasons for Changes:** Half of respondents indicated they made plan changes as a normal course of plan governance, including nearly 70 percent of large plans.
  - Forty percent of large plans made changes in light of recent lawsuits and nearly half made changes to mitigate risk.

# **Demographics**

Table 1: Respondent Demographics by Organization Size and ERISA Status

ERISA Status	Plan Size (# of Participants)						
ERISA Status	1-49	All Plans					
ERISA	66.0%	75.0%	83.1%	37.3%	66.9%		
Non-ERISA	12.6%	16.3%	15.3%	60.8%	22.5%		
Unsure	21.4%	8.8%	1.7%	2.0%	10.6%		
All Plans	34.9%	28.2%	19.8%	17.1%	100.0%		

Table 2: Respondents by Industry Type

Industry	Number of Plans	Percentage of Plans
Arts/Cultural	13	4.3%
Association	13	4.3%
Foundation	8	2.7%
Healthcare (other than hospitals)	19	6.3%
Higher Education (including faith-based)	60	20.0%
Hospitals & Hospital Systems (including faith-based)	9	3.0%
K-12 Education	71	23.7%
Library/Museum	11	3.7%
Other Education	21	7.0%
Religious Intuition (i.e. Churches)	8	2.7%
Research, Science, or Environmental	25	8.3%
Social/Community Services	35	11.7%
Other	7	2.3%
Total	300	100.0%

**Table 3: Approximate Plan Asset Size of Respondents** 

Total Assets	All Plans
Less than \$1 million	17.7%
\$1-5 million	29.9%
\$5-10 million	12.6%
\$10-20 million	9.2%
\$20-50 million	10.2%
\$50 million+	20.4%
Total	100.0%

Table 4: Number of Plan Providers Used, by Plan Size

Number of Plan Providers	Plan Size (# of Participants)					
Number of Flan Floviders	1-49	50-199	200-999	1,000+	All Plans	
1	94.1%	88.0%	82.8%	43.1%	81.3%	
2	2.0%	9.6%	10.3%	23.5%	9.5%	
3	3.9%	0.0%	1.7%	5.9%	2.7%	
4	0.0%	1.2%	3.4%	3.9%	1.7%	
5	0.0%	1.2%	1.7%	3.9%	1.4%	
More than 5	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	19.6%	3.4%	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%	99.9%	100.0%	

## **Plan Fiduciaries**

Table 5. Percentage of Respondents Who View Themselves or Their Organization's Investment Committee as a Plan Fiduciary

FRICA Chahus	Plan Size (# of Participants)						
ERISA Status	1-49	50-199	1,000+	All Plans			
ERISA Plans	69.1%	94.8%	89.8%	94.7%	84.5%		
Non-ERISA Plans	69.2%	91.7%	77.8%	77.4%	78.5%		
All Plans	64.4%	91.4%	88.1%	84.3%	80.0%		

Table 6. Entities Other Than the Plan Sponsor that Act as Plan Fiduciaries

Entity		Plan Size (# of Participants)						
Entity	1-49	50-199	200-999	1,000+	All Plans			
Plan Provider	39.0%	40.2%	35.6%	34.0%	37.8%			
Plan Advisor	21.0%	42.7%	47.5%	30.0%	33.8%			
Consultant	10.5%	34.1%	42.4%	52.0%	30.4%			
None	25.7%	8.5%	5.1%	20.0%	15.9%			
Unsure	18.1%	9.8%	10.2%	10.0%	12.8%			

# **Plan Changes Made**

Table 7. Types of Plan Changes Made in the Last Two Years

Changes Made	Plan Size (# of Participants)					
Changes Made	1-49	50-199	200-999	1,000+	All Plans	
Investment Changes	18.3%	59.5%	62.1%	72.0%	47.6%	
Plan Governance Changes	7.7%	25.0%	17.2%	24.0%	17.2%	
Plan Fee Assessment/Changes	1.9%	25.0%	41.4%	52.0%	24.7%	
Plan Design	4.8%	4.8%	6.9%	8.0%	5.7%	
None	70.2%	35.7%	25.9%	20.0%	43.2%	
Other	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	1.0%	

**Table 8. Types of Investment Changes Made** 

Chanasa Mada	Plan Size (# of Participants)					
Changes Made	1-49*	50-199	200-999	1,000+	All Plans	
Removed/replaced						
underperforming investments.	63.2%	83.7%	88.9%	88.6%	82.9%	
Reduced the number of						
annuity providers.	0.0%	2.0%	11.1%	17.1%	7.9%	
Reduced the number of						
investment options.	10.5%	12.2%	19.4%	28.6%	17.9%	
Changed from retail to						
institutional share classes.	0.0%	16.3%	11.1%	45.7%	20.0%	
Eliminated proprietary funds						
of the service provider	0.0%	12.2%	19.4%	5.7%	10.7%	
Adoption of a Qualified						
Default Investment						
Alternative (QDIA)	26.3%	22.4%	16.7%	11.4%	17.9%	
Other	15.8%	18.4%	8.3%	14.3%	14.3%	

<sup>\*</sup>Small sample size.

Table 9. Types of Plan Governance Changes Made, When Made

Changes Made	Percentage of All Plans
Hired an advisor to act as a fiduciary or changed from a non-fiduciary advisor to	
a fiduciary advisor.	51.1%
Created an Investment Policy statement.	42.6%
Re-evaluated the plan's governance structure.	48.9%
Reduced the number of plan providers or recordkeepers.	12.8%
Reviewed or modified fiduciary insurance	19.1%
Other	25.5%

Table 10. Types of Fee Changes Made, When Made

Change Made	Percentage of All Plans
Began benchmarking fees.	29.9%
Fee leveling.	46.3%
Changed allocation of recordkeeping/plan administration fees from basis points	
to per participant and/or transaction based fees	28.4%
Other	19.4%

Table 11. Reasons for Making Plan Changes, when Changes Were Made

Pagan		Pl	an Size (# of Partio	ipants)	
Reason	1-49	50-199	200-999	1,000+	All Plans
To enhance governance.	16.7%	23.1%	34.9%	40.0%	28.9%
To prepare for the fiduciary rule that did not go into effect.	13.3%	11.5%	9.3%	10.0%	10.8%
To prepare for other fiduciary rules currently being developed by the SEC and various states.	6.7%	5.8%	4.7%	7.5%	6.0%
These are ordinary changes in the course of the plan's governance.	33.3%	63.5%	44.2%	67.5%	53.6%
Our advisor recommended we do so.	40.0%	55.8%	48.8%	47.5%	48.8%
In light of the litigation surrounding plans today.	3.3%	7.7%	18.6%	40.0%	17.5%
To mitigate risk.	13.3%	30.8%	37.2%	47.5%	32.5%
Other	30.0%	17.3%	20.9%	12.5%	19.3%

### **Investment Assistance**

Table 12. Entities Relied on in Making Investment Line-up Decisions

Fatitu	Plan Size (# of Participants)						
Entity	1-49	50-199	200-999	1,000+	All Plans		
No outside help.	16.8%	3.6%	3.4%	0.0%	7.3%		
The plan's recordkeeper.	25.3%	14.5%	8.6%	5.9%	15.3%		
A fiduciary advisor though the plan recordkeeper.	23.2%	19.3%	17.2%	2.0%	17.1%		
The investment committee in conjunction with the plan advisor or provider.	27.4%	57.8%	63.8%	70.6%	51.2%		
Other	7.4%	4.8%	6.9%	21.6%	9.1%		
Total	100.1%	100.0%	99.9%	100.1%	100.0%		

Table 13. Percentage of Organizations Offering, or Planning to Offer, Investment Decision Assistance to Participants

Availahility	Plan Size (# of Participants)					
Availability	1-49	50-199	200-999	1,000+	All Plans	
Currently Offer	39.4%	76.2%	80.7%	77.1%	64.2%	
Planning to offer within the next year.	6.7%	3.6%	1.8%	4.2%	4.4%	
Don't offer and no plans to offer.	53.8%	20.2%	17.5%	18.8%	31.4%	
Total	99.9%	100.0%	100.0%	100.1%	100.0%	

## **Appendix I – Questionnaire**

#### The following questions were posed to respondents online.

Do you view yourself, or your organization's investment committee (if applicable), as a Fiduciary on your organization's 403(b) plan?
Yes No Unsure
What other entities act as fiduciaries on your organization's 403(b) plan?  Consultant  Plan Provider  Plan Advisor  None  Other:
<ul> <li>A. What types of plan changes have you made in the last two years? Check all that apply.</li> <li>Investment Changes</li> <li>Plan Governance Changes</li> <li>Plan Fee Assessment/Changes</li> <li>Other:</li> <li>None</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>a. What investment changes were made?</li> <li>Removed/replaced underperforming investments from the lineup.</li> <li>Reducing the number of annuity providers.</li> <li>Reduced the number of investment options.</li> <li>Changed from retail to institutional share classes.</li> <li>Eliminating proprietary funds of the service provider</li> <li>Adoption of a Qualified Default Investment Alternative (QDIA)</li> <li>Other:</li></ul>
<ul> <li>b. What plan governance changes were made?</li> <li>Hired an advisor to act as a fiduciary or changed from a non-fiduciary advisor to a fiduciary advisor</li> <li>Created an Investment Policy statement.</li> <li>Re-evaluated the plan's governance structure.</li> <li>Reduced the number of plan providers or recordkeepers.</li> <li>Reviewed or modified fiduciary insurance</li> <li>Other:</li></ul>
<ul> <li>c. What plan fee assessment or changes were made?</li> <li>Began benchmarking fees.</li> <li>Fee leveling.</li> <li>Changing allocation of recordkeeping/plan administration fees from an asset management basis (basis points) to per participant and/or transaction based fees</li> <li>Other:</li> </ul>

- B. If you made any of the changes above, why? Check all that apply.
- To enhance governance.
- To prepare for the fiduciary rule that did not go into effect.
- To prepare for other fiduciary rules currently being developed by the SEC and various states.
- These are ordinary changes in the course of the plan's governance.

- Our advisor recommended we do so.
- In light of the litigation surrounding plans today.
- To mitigate risk.

-	Other:		
---	--------	--	--

- 4. Who does the organization rely on to make decisions about the plan's investment line-up?
  - The organization's investment committee does all of the research, analysis, and makes the decision without outside help.
  - The plan's recordkeeper.
  - A fiduciary advisor though the plan recordkeeper.
  - The investment committee in conjunction with the plan advisor or provider.
- 5. Do you currently, or do you plan to, offer your participants help with deciding what plan investments to invest in, either through an online advice option, or one-on-one counseling with an investment advisor (phone or inperson).
  - Currently offer
  - Planning to offer within the next year.
  - Don't offer and no plans to offer.